## M11WfraC 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 12 Cr. 446 (VSB) v. 5 ANDREW FRANZONE, 6 Defendant. Conference -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. January 21, 2022 9 3:00 p.m. Before: 10 11 HON. VERNON S. BRODERICK, 12 District Judge 13 APPEARANCES 14 DAMIAN WILLIAMS 15 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York BY: KIERSTEN A. FLETCHER 16 Assistant United States Attorney 17 RUBINSTEIN & COROZZO, LLP 18 Attorneys for Defendant BY: JOSEPH R. COROZZO 19 ANGELA D. LIPSMAN 20 21 22 23 24 25

THE COURT: If I could ask counsel to please identify yourself for the record.

MS. FLETCHER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Kiersten Fletcher, for the government.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MR. COROZZO: Good afternoon, your Honor. On behalf of Andrew Franzone, I am Joseph Corozzo, from Rubinstein & Corozzo, and my associate, Angela Lipsman, is to my left and your right.

THE COURT: OK. Good afternoon.

MS. LIPSMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: This matter is on for a status conference. I think the last time I met with the parties in person, or even remotely, was in the summer. There was an adjournment from then for approximately 90 days to allow for review of discovery — that was November 5 — and then another adjournment from November 5 to December 7 and December 7 until today.

Mr. Corozzo, I saw that you were recently retained and filed a notice of appearance in December, and Ms. Lipsman filed a notice of appearance earlier this week.

First, let me ask the government, in terms of discovery, I know discovery had been produced to prior counsel from the Federal Defenders, and I know there's a protective order in place -- well, first let me ask Mr. Corozzo.

With regard to the protective order, is that something you've reviewed and signed off on?

MR. COROZZO: I have reviewed it, your Honor. I have not submitted an executed copy on our behalf, but my office is very well aware of it and have abided by it, yes.

THE COURT: OK. Well, I guess because the issue is the discovery, let me ask the government in terms of discovery whether you're aware -- well, let me ask the government in terms of discovery.

MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, my understanding is that Mr. Franzone's former counsel, Julia Gatto, has provided the discovery that she received to his new counsel.

THE COURT: OK. All right.

MS. FLETCHER: We were just discussing before your Honor came into the courtroom, and it appears that new counsel did not get the indexes, the cover letters that were sent to Ms. Gatto, so we'll provide those to him today. And we had some discussion about defense counsel's ability to open certain of the files and the forensic tools that might be needed for certain electronic devices. But my understanding is that all of the material Ms. Gatto had has been passed along.

THE COURT: OK. All right.

Mr. Corozzo, when you get the government's letter, compare it or try and compare it to what you've received to see if there's anything missing so that you can make requests with

regard to that and perhaps even schedule a time when you can go through with Ms. Fletcher or someone from her office or someone from either party to make sure you've gotten everything in the transfer. And when you get a chance, take care of the protective order.

Let me hear from you, Mr. Corozzo. I assume you haven't really had time since you've only basically been retained for a month and you probably didn't have the materials until recently. So let me hear from you.

MR. COROZZO: Yes, your Honor.

Your Honor, acknowledging that the case is somewhat old on calendar days, but the Court is correct, we were retained approximately 30 days ago, and we did receive an external hard drive from prior counsel, which indicated that it contained two different sources of discovery: disclosures from 8/23/21, was a second discovery disclosure; and there was one from 7/23/21.

We were able to access the 7/23/21 production documents, and we've been going through them. It's only 93 gigabytes of documents in that, including certain documents that came from somewhat collateral proceedings.

As the Court is aware, there's a companion SEC proceeding that involves the same facts and the same allegations. There's also a bankruptcy proceeding in the federal bankruptcy court in the Southern District of Florida.

So the 7/23/21 production contains certain documents from those sources. We've been going through that production.

We've also been cross-referencing, doing our best and being as diligent as possible, cross-referencing to the other sources, which we do have public access to what's been publicly filed in the SEC proceeding and public access to what's been filed in the bankruptcy proceeding. So we've been going through that universe of documents so far.

When trying to access the production from 8/23/21, we retained an IT expert to attempt to open it. Our own retained expert was unable to do it. I've just been informed by the government this morning, although I don't necessarily -- I can't say I understand it intimately, but I can grasp that there is an external tool that is necessary to open it. I would hope that my IT expert would have been aware of it, but he did not indicate that to me. So we will continue to endeavor to communicate with the government to facilitate our office's obtaining whatever necessary external tools exist and opening that production.

We understand that production to be in excess of two terabytes of material, your Honor, so it's almost impossible for me to even ascertain how long I need to go through the discovery when I'm thinking that there's at least two terabytes of discovery; there might be discovery or information and documents from other sources that I need. I can express to the

Court every intention that my office has of not wasting time.

We've been spending great hours. We have other associates

assigned to the matter, so we're not wasting time going through

it. I'm just saying it's almost impossible, if not impossible,

for me to tell the Court how much time I need to go through it

all presently.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. COROZZO: I'm happy to accept whatever adjournment the Court would request or require and come back with a report whenever that date is, because we are going through it on a regular basis.

Mr. Franzone, who's under house arrest, has been coming to my office at least two, three days a week. We might — I don't think it's ripe for this afternoon, but we might be making a bail request to, maybe, change it to a curfew or something, because with this amount of volume, I could have Mr. Franzone in my office five days a week, all day long, helping and assisting going through the discovery. But I think that application is premature right now.

I just want the Court to understand what I'm currently doing, and that does include Mr. Franzone being in my office.

I think it's been an average of three times a week for the past number of weeks.

THE COURT: OK. What I would suggest on the latter part, Mr. Corozzo, is that you meet and confer with the

government and the pretrial services officer to see if you can reach some sort of accommodation or understanding where there's agreement. I'll obviously need to be involved anyway at some point, but obviously meet and confer with the government and the pretrial services officer assigned to Mr. Franzone.

With regard to discovery, I just have a suggestion.

As I understand it, Ms. Fletcher, there are search warrants that are at issue here. Are they search warrants for -- and I apologize; I just don't recall whether I had asked. I think it was Ms. Estes that had appeared at the first conference. Are they search warrants of a premises, phones, computers, or each of those?

MS. FLETCHER: I believe it's each of the above.

There was -- at the time of the defendant's arrest, he was residing in a hotel room. There was a search warrant for the hotel room and then a follow-on warrant, I believe, for electronic devices that were taken from the hotel room and from his person.

THE COURT: OK. And were there postarrest statements?

MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, it's been a while since

I've thought about that.

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. FLETCHER: I don't believe so.

THE COURT: OK.

MS. FLETCHER: My recollection is that there are not.

I'm fairly confident of that.

THE COURT: OK. I guess what I would suggest in terms of, and I'm not, obviously, saying that you should review all of the materials that you think are appropriate, but I would ask if the government could direct Mr. Corozzo and his team to those things that might generate a pretrial motion, so search warrants, statements, things like that. Obviously, if the search warrant was not for a device or something that is actually Mr. Franzone's own, that's a different story, but it sounds like there are colorable claims to having a privacy interest in the materials that Ms. Fletcher mentioned. And I'm not precluding that there might be other motions that might be appropriate. It just seems to me that would be a place to start.

MR. COROZZO: I'm following, your Honor, and you're making a lot of sense, and it's very logical, your suggested approach. I understand.

THE COURT: And again, I don't know the exact nature of the other discovery, but Ms. Fletcher, if you could help just identify the different tranches, because there may be certain things, and I'm not saying necessarily you have to exactly rake the discovery, but there may be certain things that really aren't as important as other things, and I think probably Mr. Corozzo will get more of a sense of that when he has the discovery letters. But I think that the

meet-and-confer process can also assist in that.

MS. FLETCHER: Yes, your Honor. Of course. And the Court is right. The discovery letters are pretty detailed and will make it easy for Mr. Corozzo to see exactly where in the production are the search warrants and where the other sources of evidence come from. So a lot of documents are documents we received directly from victims. A lot are received from the SEC. There is some testimony from the ongoing bankruptcy proceeding, but all of that is laid out in detail in the index. And so I'm hopeful that once Mr. Corozzo has that he'll be able to do what the Court has suggested.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask, the bankruptcy proceeding, Mr. Corozzo, is it Mr. Franzone's bankruptcy or of an entity?

MR. COROZZO: It's the entity that is the subject of the charges.

THE COURT: OK. And I assume the SEC matter involves  $\mbox{Mr. Franzone directly.}$ 

MR. COROZZO: It does, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Why don't we plan on coming back, I want to say in 60 days so that we can figure out exactly where things stand at that point.

I hope, Mr. Corozzo, that you're able to look, at a minimum, at the search materials to glean whether or not you believe there are motions that might be appropriate in

connection with those.

MR. COROZZO: I can do that, your Honor. Within 60 days, I most likely would be able to identify if there are necessary motions in the matter, and also, I could give the Court an update as to the review of the discovery in its entirety and how we're progressing after 60 days.

THE COURT: OK. I'll tell you where that would put us in terms of the current — it would basically put us, and I'm not at all by saying when, because right now I'm not in control of my trial calendar. The next date for submission for the second quarter of 2022 is February 15. We're obviously not going to make that, so what we're looking at is either potentially, depending upon third, fourth, or whatever, thereafter. And again, I'm not in any way saying when that might be.

Let me ask, Ms. Rodriguez, could I have a date 60 days out.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: March 22.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask.

Ms. Fletcher.

MS. FLETCHER: As the Court indulged last time, I can have a colleague come in that day if that's the day the Court prefers, but I am currently scheduled to begin a trial on that day --

THE COURT: All right.

MS. FLETCHER: -- that I expect will be three weeks, probably. So if the Court would like me as the AUSA assigned to the case to be here, perhaps we could do it just at the end of the week before that or later.

THE COURT: Why don't we do it a little bit later.

THE COURT: Why don't we do it a little bit later.

Mid-April, do you think?

MS. FLETCHER: May I just look at my calendar on my phone?

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. FLETCHER: I think that would work.

MR. COROZZO: Your Honor, I just have a previously scheduled vacation; it's school Easter break the week of the 18th. So I'm available any time except for the week of the 18th.

THE COURT: OK. So it's either before the 18th or after, near the end of March.

MS. FLETCHER: Your Honor, it looks like March 22 is a Tuesday. Could we do March 21, which would be the day before the trial begins?

THE COURT: OK. At what time? Same time, 3:00?

MS. FLETCHER: Fine for the government, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. COROZZO: Fine for the defense, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'll see the parties on March 21 at 3 p.m., at which time we'll discuss the status of review

of discovery but also potential motions.

If you get the opportunity, Mr. Corozzo, to discuss your thoughts with regard to motions and the like with the government, that's fine. But otherwise, we'll hash it out here and figure out exactly what the next steps will be.

MR. COROZZO: I always prefer to confer with the government prior anyway, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. COROZZO: So I will take that suggestion.

THE COURT: All right.

Let me ask, is there anything else that we need to deal with other than the exclusion of time?

From the government.

MS. FLETCHER: No. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: From the defense.

MR. COROZZO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

I'm going to exclude the time between now and March 21 from the time within which Mr. Franzone would have to be brought to trial. I find that that exclusion outweighs the interests of the public and Mr. Franzone in a speedy trial. That time is necessary to allow new counsel to get up to speed, to review the discovery, to actually open discovery, and to make a determination about what motions are appropriate.

OK. Thank you very much, everybody, for coming in.

	13
	M1lWfraC
1	Mr. Franzone, I'll see you on March 21. OK?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
3	MR. COROZZO: Thank you, your Honor. Have a good day.
4	MS. FLETCHER: Thank you, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Thank you very much. Please, everyone,
6	stay safe.
7	(Adjourned)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
/ / 1	

25